

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,982	03/28/2005	Michele Bernini	P-2552	7191	
2120 7590 6618/2009 PAUL A. FATTIBENE FATTIBENE & FATTIBENE 2480 POST ROAD SOUTHPORT. CT 06890			EXAMINER		
			WILSON, LEE D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5001111 0111	, 01 00050		3727	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/511.982 BERNINI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	LEE D. WILSON	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCNT18 from the making date of the communication. 1 Failure to reply within the act or extended period for reply will by statute, Any reply received by the Cffice later than three months after the making of earned patient term deliument. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 3(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim II apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This a						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	c parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ty documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
B) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paner No/s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1- 10, 12-13, 16-26, 29, 32-34, 37-39, and 42 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carr (5765252).
 - Carr discloses a tooth cleaning device having a main body (18) with bristles (46) and protrusions (48).
- Claims 1 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noe et al (6119296).

Noe et al discloses a tooth cleaning device having a main body (18) with bristles (18) with tufts (col.8, line 48)).

Art Unit: 3727

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be necetived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11-12, 14, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr (5765252) in view of Stelmach (6116252).
 - a. Carr discloses the claimed invention except for a resilient material being a string or chord which is attached. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art such as a chord or string attached to to a toothbrush which provide a safety feature and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. KSR
 - b. Carr discloses a variety of shapes except for a approximately a square shape. The claim would have been obvious because a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp as matter of design choice or anticipated success leading to a product not of innovation but of ordinary common sense to yield a predictable result.
- Claims 2 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr (5765252) in view of McDevitt et al (6721987).
 - c. Carr discloses the claimed invention except for flavoring substance. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art such as flavoring substance which

Art Unit: 3727

provide cleaning for the mouth and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. KSR

- Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr (5765252) in view of Kamiski et al (5283924).
 - d. Carr discloses the claimed invention except for dispersible substance. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art as shown by Kamiski et al having a dispersible substance (col.3, lines 57-65) which provide cleaning substance for teeth and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. KSR

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - e. In regard to claims, the only claim that clearly recites the disperisble substance is the new claim 43. A new reference with a dispersible substance has been found to treat claim 43. The independent claims state that this substance is recieved and later try to claim the substance. It was determined that this was really just part of the workpiece so the claimed structure is not really modified. Therefore rejections stand.

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3727

June 15, 2009